

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,476	01/15/2002	Lixiao Wang	1001.1445101	6164	
28075	7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER		
	N, SEAGER & TUFTE, LET AVENUE	MAIORIN	MAIORINO, ROZ		
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55403-2420	3763			
			DATE MAILED 01/06/0006		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/050,476	WANG ET.AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Roz Maiorino	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro tute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. on the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 November 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-32, 18-19, is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication for a line of the papp	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/4/02. 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 08)					

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of election in the reply filed on 11/04/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that lack of generic claim matter. This is found persuasive and claim1 and 16 will be considered generic.

Claims 18-19, 27-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Speices, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 11/04/2004.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,476 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-8, 16are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US PUB NO. 2004/0079429 to Miller et al.

Miller teaches a medial device with a metallic and polymeric tube where at least a portion of the metallic tubular member forms a lap joint, and a coupling agent disposed between metallic and polymeric tube.

3. Claims 1-8, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US PUB NO. 2004/0199109 to Wantink.

Wantink teaches a medial device with a metallic and polymeric tube where at least a portion of the metallic tubular member forms a lap joint, and a coupling agent disposed between metallic and polymeric tube.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3763

4. Claims 9-12, 17, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PUB NO. 2004/0199109 to Wantink or US PUB NO. 2004/0079429 to Miller et al as applied to claims 1, 16 above, and further in view of US Patent PUB no. 2003/016547 to Kaneko et al.

As mentioned above Wantink and Miller both teach the invention except for having a couple agent that is titanate. However titanate is very commonly used in the art as an adhesive agent as demonstrated by Kaneko.

Kaneko teaches a medical tube which uses titanate as an adhesive agent (paragraph 0122)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used titanate as an adhesive agent for the coupling of tubes in catheter system, because its very well know in the art that titanate is used for an adhesive agent.

5. Claims 13, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US PUB NO. 2004/0199109 to Wantink or US PUB NO. 2004/0079429 to Miller et al. as applied to claims 1, 16 above, and further in view of US Patent PUB no. 2004/0139820 to Kodas et al.

As mentioned above Wantink and Miller both teach the invention except for having a couple agent that is aluminate. However aluminate is very commonly used in the art as an adhesive agent as demonstrated by Kodas

Kodas teaches a medical tube which uses aluminate as an adhesive agent.(paragraph 0258)

Application/Control Number: 10/050,476

Art Unit: 3763

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used aluminate as an adhesive agent for the coupling of tubes in catheter system, because its very well know in the art that aluminate is used for an adhesive agent.

Claims 14, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. US PUB NO. 2004/0199109 to Wantink or US PUB NO. 2004/0079429 to Miller et al. as applied to claims 1, 16 above, and further in view of US Patent no. 6730064 to Ragheb et al.

As mentioned above Wantink and Miller both teach the invention except for having a couple agent that is Silane. However aluminate is very commonly used in the art as an adhesive agent as demonstrated by Ragheb.

Ragheb teaches a medical tube which uses Silane as an adhesive agent. (Col.3, lines 30-45)

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used silane as an adhesive agent for the coupling of tubes in catheter system, because its very well know in the art that aluminate is used for an adhesive agent.

Claims 9-15, 17, 20-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 7. unpatentable over US PUB NO. 2004/0199109 to Wantink or US PUB NO. 2004/0079429 to Miller et al. as applied to claims 1, 16 above, and further in view of US Patent no. 5190795 to Culler

As mentioned above Wantink and Miller both teach the invention except for having a couple agent that is Silane, aluminate, titanate, or zirconate. However Silane,

Art Unit: 3763

aluminate, titanate, or zirconate are very commonly used in the art as an adhesive agent for the adhesion of metal tubes as demonstrated by Culler.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have used Silane, aluminate, titanate, or zirconate as adhesive agent for the coupling of tubes in catheter system, because its very well know in the art that aluminate is used for an adhesive agent.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roz Maiorino whose telephone number is 571-272-4960. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4377. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RM

PW

PERCENTED NECESTA

CIPITAL PROPERTY COM